REMARKS

Claims 1 and 11 are amended in order to more particularly point out, and distinctly claim the subject matter to which the Applicants regard as their invention. The Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added. It is believed that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated **August 4, 2009**.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112:

Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Application regards as the invention. Reconsideration and removal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 the Applicants have amended Claims 1 and 11 for clarification purposes.

In view of the amendments to Claims 1 and 11, and the above remarks, removal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103:

Claims 1, 4, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bloomfield et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,412,776 in view of Bonura et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,670,970. Reconsideration and removal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 11 are amended to recite the limitation "...switches (switching) a window to be activated by making a window active, which corresponds to a title emphatically displayed when a predetermined time after another window was made active last time has elapsed, not making a window active, which corresponds to a title emphatically displayed to be switched in succession while the titles displayed as said title list are scrolled until said predetermined time has elapsed".

It is respectfully submitted that Bloomfield et al. merely teaches displaying a window list and suggests that the window list is scrolled. Bonura et al. merely teaches displaying a window after a predetermined time has elapsed.

It is respectfully submitted that neither Bloomfield et al. nor Bonura et al. teaches or suggests the aforementioned limitation as now recited in amended Claims 1 and 11.

In view of the amendments to Claims 1 and 11, and the above remarks, removal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Consequently, Claims 1 and 11 patentably distinguish over Bloomfield et al. and Bonura et al., and should be allowed. Also, Claims 4, 7, 10, 14 17, and 20 each depend from Claim 1 or 11, directly or indirectly, and should be allowed because they recite the additional features.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 17 and 20, as amended, are believed to be in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. **10/634,843** Amendment filed November 16, 2009 Reply to OA dated August 4, 2009

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP

James N. Baker Agent for Applicants Reg. No. 40,899

JNB/lrj

Atty. Docket No. **030888** Suite 400 1420 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 659-2930

23850
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE